



Minutes

Committee: Equity Committee

Date: 06/30/2022

Duration: 12:00 – 1:30

Meeting Place: Zoom virtual meeting

Attendance:

P = Present
 TC = Attended via Dial in
 A = Absent

Equity Committee Members							
A	Guen Brown	P	Claire Burrus	A	Junghee Cho	A	Kellee Coleman
P	Jesus Gonzales	P	Kimberly Holiday	A	Soleece Watson	P	Sulipsa Luque
P	Lori Neyland	A	Esteban Olave	P	Tiffany Hart		
Co-Chairs							
P	Netanya Jamieson	P	Summer Wright				
Other Community Members							
	Ana Perez						
	Karem Castillo						
	LaToya Kindred-Ray						
ECHO Support Staff							
P	Chris Davis						
Other ECHO Staff							
	Alesandra Dominguez		Whitney Bright				
	Mariane Elzy		Meagan Biscamp				

AGENDA		DISCUSSION	ACTION ITEMS
I. Welcome & Check-in	N. Jamieson		•
II. Austin Prioritization Index Name Change	N. Jamieson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Discussion ● Recap last meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ API is the same acronym that stands for Asians and Pacific Islanders and don't want to confuse/be insensitive ● SW & CB: The Index is getting a lot of attention nationally, and "API" is already known; would be good to keep something close to minimize confusion – suggest Austin Prioritization Tool (APT) ● SL: Thought "API" was confusing, not necessarily offensive – want to change name to avoid confusion and agree on keeping new name close ● NJ: We live in acronym land and need to get out of using so many acronyms in the first place; hard to see the change having been part of the Index from the beginning; 	

AGENDA	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>want Austin to be proud of this tool, and don't want that pride to fade away – don't want to lose essence of what it is</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Difficult to find three-letter combinations that don't bring up other associations ● Various suggestions: APEX, APT, APSTI, APST, ATXPI, ATPI, APIT, APST, APS, APD ● Suggestion: Austin Prioritization Assessment Tool (APAT) – unanimous vote to approve 	
<p>III. HMIS Client Naming Procedures</p>	<p>S. Wright</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Whitney Bright, ECHO's HMIS Director, was invited by co-chairs for this conversation</i> ● Background <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Dead/legal name vs. preferred name ○ The way client data is stored in HMIS, there's a line for name, birthday, 2-3 more lines, then an "alias" line for preferred name, nickname, etc. ○ Can put any name in the name line, but helps to put legal name for service delivery – this encourages dead-naming (using a trans person's legal name they don't identify with before they've been able to legally change it to their preferred name), which can be unsafe ● Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ TH: HUD is clued into this through the TA world and this committee can pass along recommendations for how to be more inclusive of gender identity ○ SW: Would like to change alias to preferred name and move it to the top ○ WB: We do not have the ability to change and/or move questions in the HMIS intake – would have to go through WellSky (our HMIS provider) ○ SW: Broader point about how organizations treat trans people – would like to improve training for providers to be aware of and responsive to trans people's needs – can cause real harm when people use a legal name even when a preferred name is present and highlighted – busy providers aren't always going to review an entire file before speaking with someone, so it matters where the preferred name is located on forms, etc.; if unable to change the HMIS side, this can be part of training ○ SL: From provider perspective, legal name is really important, but client-centered services are too; suggestion to treat this like billing/shipping addresses – here's the name you need for this application, here's the name you need for this; agree with suggestion to put preferred name higher/prominent ○ MB: Recently in a space with case managers where dead-naming was a new concept, so need for training; Lyric Wardlow's (ECHO staff) community training series might be a good fit for this 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● S. Wright to contact Lyric about joining next meeting to discuss training possibilities

AGENDA	DISCUSSION	ACTION	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o SL: There is no real training in the community around gender identity – can't assume people know this stuff; also dependent on organization leadership bringing in this kind of training o TH: Suggestion to score NOFO/federal grant applications based in part on participation in trainings on inclusion, diversity, etc. – are we allowed to create a training series like this? Could True Colors United (TH's organization) support the creation of trainings? o NJ: This has been on the committee back-burner to create a training series – would want to make sure EC certifies/creates the training to ensure uniformity o CB: Would be helpful to have a baseline to compare training to; don't want to slow down this process, but would be good to measure impact of training o WB: We could create a training around diversity and appropriate language to put in our LMS for HMIS new users ● Next steps: Summer will connect with Lyric to possibly join next meeting 	
<p>IV. Updates & Announcements</p>	<p>N. Jamieson, S. Wright & All</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Workgroup interest discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Only PLE Support Space meeting right now o Workgroups are meant to hold specific projects when there's overflow in the EC space (APAT, etc.) o The workgroups listed are recommendations from LC, but it's up to EC o CB: Performance Monitoring Committee sent around interest form for different workgroups – could do the same; might help build momentum to bring ideas from workgroups to full EC rather than vice versa o NJ: Would like to see workgroups start o CB: Suggest taking a step back to give people opportunity to look over workgroups and decide what they want to do o NJ: Suggest regrouping at the next meeting after sending workgroups/descriptions to everyone on EC as well as people who applied and didn't make it onto EC o JG: Seeking list/collection of opportunities/events/organizations where people can get involved to support unhoused people on the advocacy/planning side <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ CB: ECHO's been talking about this internally, and can connect JG with ECHO to work on it o Defining workgroup leaders: 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●

AGENDA	DISCUSSION	ACTION	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ SW is over PLE Support Space ● Workgroup Updates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Policies & Procedures Workgroup ○ Tools Workgroup ○ Trainings Workgroup ○ Professional Development Workgroup ○ PLE Support Space ○ APAT Development 	
V. Roadmap equity discussion	C. Burrus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Item added during meeting ● Equity is mentioned in a number of other places throughout the Roadmap ● Suggest a role for EC to define equity for governance so everyone is on the same page ● Future agenda item 	
Adjournment		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Next Meeting: 07/14/2022 12:00PM – 1:30PM; Virtual meeting via Zoom 	