Minutes

Committee: HUD COC and ESG Committee

Duration: 9:00 – 11:00

Date: 2/26/2020

Meeting Place: ECHO
300 E. Highland Mall Blvd

Attendance:

P = Present
TC = Attended via Dial in
A = Absent

	CoC and ESG Members						
A	Kali Holyfield	A	Erin Whelan	A	Sylvia Garrett	P	Rick Rivera
A	Christa Noland	P	Caitlin Bond	P	Dylan Shubitz	P	Christina Montes
A	Erin Goodison	A	Greg McCormack	A	Kate Bennett	A	Naomi Tejero
P	Hosie Washington	A	Kathy Ridings	P	Mamadou Balde	A	Melinda Cantu
A	Natasha Shoemaker	A	Delia DeLeon	A	Robyn Schoer	P	Jason Phillips
P	Neil Hackett	A	Chris Meigs	A	Rachel Connors	A	Netanya Jamieson
A	Miranda Spiro	A	Allison Jackson	A	Jim Currier	A	Michelle Myles
A	Sam Verde	A	Darcy Rendon	P	Quen Jones	P	Rachel Pound
A	Rachel Maxwell	P	Victoria Perez	P	Chris Laguna	P	Lorena Martinez

	ECHO Staff						
A	Kate Moore	P	Laura Evanoff	P	Mason Turpin	P	Melissa Wheeler
A	Norman Harris	P	Axton Nichols	A	Whitney Bright	P	Claire Burrus
P	Sarah Duzinski						

AGENDA		DISCUSSION	ACTION ITEMS
I. Welcome & Review January 2020 Minutes	K Holyfield / E Whelan	**Review and Approve January 2020 Minutes** • Approved with no revisions	Minutes approved with no revisions
II. CoC Business	/ E Whelan/ K Moore	**Membership Council** • Minor grant amendment policy approved • Scorecard discussion • Conversation around effectively utilizing people with lived experience **CoC Governance Structure Consultant** • Homebase selected as the consulting agency • Goal is to have a plan for modified governance structure by end of April **United Way** • Has been developing a resource database with Aunt Bertha and will present to MC at next meeting	

AGENDA		DISCUSSION	ACTION

III. CoC Lead Agency Updates	C Burrus/ M Turpin	**COC Performance Scorecard Summary**
		• Presentation on proposed changes to Scorecard. Planning to pilot this new Scorecard and solicit feedback from CoC members
		• Content Revisions
		    o 3 scoring dimensions:
		        ▪ Data Submission (data quality and timeliness)
		            • Increased weight for timeliness
		        ▪ Project Performance (evaluate project outcomes and monitor performance in meeting program goals)
		            • "low-barrier admission policies" replaced by "days to move-in"
		            • Income growth to be weighted more heavily for RRH programs
		            • Using Coordinated Assessment is now worth fewer points
		        ▪ Population Characteristics [new] (evaluate equity in service delivery to vulnerable clients)
		            • Looking at 3 specific vulnerable populations
		                o People with zero income at move in
		                o Black, indigenous, and people of color
		                o Substance use population
		            • Worth 6 points for PSH projects and 4 points for RRH/TH/Diversion projects
		        ▪ Proposed scoring thresholds are based off of running these new reports for the entire system and looking at the existing, system-wide baseline
		        ▪ Submission process
		            • Switching to using an online system (Wufoo) to submit scorecard
		            • New system will be more consistent and replicable.
		                o Scores calculated automatically with the ability to examine the calculation process
		            • Per-client data will be available
		            • Submissions stored in encrypted database (instead of sent via email)
		            • Projects will enter the metrics exactly as seen in their ART reports. Projects will submit a separate "comments" document along with uploading their reports at the end of the submission process.
		• *Comments from committee*
			•

AGENDA		DISCUSSION	ACTION
		o Concerns that existing referral system (CE) dictates the characteristics (vulnerability, race, etc.) of people enrolled in programs. Metrics that look at results specifically for people of color, for example, will be skewed based on biases in the referral system.	
		o Some of these metrics seem to measure the effectiveness of the larger system and not necessarily the performance of particular programs	
		o Suggestion to monitor some of the metrics related to equity but not include those elements in the final scorecard score	
		o Clarification that these proposed changes are part of a *pilot* program. The goal would be to test out new measures, evaluate those results and have robust conversations, and then either iterate and test again or implement.	
		o Suggestion to apply an equity lens to existing program performance measures, e.g. project move-in time (are white people being housed more quickly than POC?)	
		o Committee unable to reach consensus on the changes to project scorecards. Proposed changes will be presented to MC acknowledging the lack of consensus. Participation in the scorecard pilot program is optional for all projects	
		o Suggestion to pilot the scorecard for 3 quarters and allow for comments during the 4th quarter	

IV. City of Austin ESG		**City of Austin Consultant**
		 • CoA has contracted with two former USICH officials to provide guidance on city contracts and long-term planning
		     o Matthew Daugherty
		     o Barbara Poppy	

V. Workgroup Updates		**Equity Task Group** • No updates **HMIS Workgroup** • Workgroup updated provided via email • System Performance Measures are due to HUD 2/28 • M Wheeler will be presenting on System Performance Measures and changes year over year • ECHO Data team has been working on cleaning and analyzing PIT and HIC results • ECHO HMIS Department is currently looking to hire a new HMIS Administrator **PIT Count Workgroup** • Next meeting March 19th, 1-2:30 pm @ ECHO office (third Thursdays)	

AGENDA		DISCUSSION	ACTION
		○ March meeting will focus on debriefing around 2020 PIT Count **VAWA Housing Protections** • No updates	
VI. Other Business	Erin Whelan	**TDHCA ESG Discussions** • No updates	
VII. Wrap Up/Next Steps	E Whelan	**NA**	

Next Meeting: ==**Wednesday, March 25** 9:00AM – 11:00AM; ECHO Training Room, 300 E. Highland Mall Blvd==