

New/Bonus/Expansion/Transition Project Application IRT Scoresheet 2019 HUD Continuum of Care NOFA Competition

The application materials are worth 100% of the overall score of 100 points

In addition to the scoring, community ranking priorities, cost effectiveness and prior CoC performance, if applicable, will be considered in the final ranking.

- ECHO reserves the right to ask for additional information or to revisit the application requirements and scoring after the release of the 2019 NOFA from HUD.

New Project Application: Total Score Summary	
Local Priorities Application MAXIMUM POINTS	40 points
E-SNAPS Application MAXIMUM POINTS	60 points
100 Points	

Local Questions

MAX POINTS	SCORING SECTION:
	E-SNAPS Application
P/F	1. E-SNAPS Application Attached
P/F	2. E-SNAPS Application Completed
	Local Funding Priorities
15	1. Meets Local Funding Priorities
	CoC Program Policies & Standards
10	1. Addressing Racial and Ethnic Disparities
5	2. HMIS Participation
5	3. Required Policies Checklist
5	4. Project Staffing Plan
40	TOTAL SCORE

E-SNAPS APPLICATION

MAX POINTS	SCORING SECTION:
	E-SNAPS Application
	2B Experience of Applicant: 15 POINTS
5	1. Experience utilizing funds
5	2. Experience w/ leveraging funds
5	3. Organization & Management Structure
P/F	4. Unresolved Monitoring or Audit Findings
	3B Project Description: 15 POINTS
10	1. Narrative – Clarity & Consistency
5	2. Project Milestones
P/F	3. Coordinated Entry Participation
N/A*	4. Specific population *(P/F – SSO-CE Only)
N/A	5a. Rapid Placement in PH
P/F	5b. Housing First
P/F	5c. Termination
P/F	5d. Housing First
	6-10 Not Scored
	Service Design Expansion Project: NOT SCORED
N/A	1. Ensure all items are completed. No scoring.
	Supportive Services: 20 POINTS
P/F	1. Compliance w/ education services
10	2. Housing Preservation (Maintain PH)
10	3. Employment & Income Assistance
P/F	4. Supportive Service Chart: Consistency & Accuracy

P/F	5a. Transportation Assistance
P/F	5b. Ensure mainstream benefits are received
P/F	6. Access to SSI/SSDI
N/A	6a. Staff w/ SOAR training last 24 months
	7. 4A, 5A, 5B need to be completed but will not be scored
Participants	
Not Scored	
BUDGET: 10 POINTS	
10	1. FY19 Budget Worksheet
N/A	2. Government/Other Funding
P/F	3. Match
60	TOTAL SCORE

Application Question and Scoring Criteria Local Application Questions	Points/Scoring Guidance
E-SNAPS Application	
<p>1. E-SNAPS Application Attached</p> <p>Copy of E-SNAPS application for the proposed project is attached in Community Force</p>	<p>PASS – Copy of E-SNAPS application for the proposed project is attached in Community Force</p> <p>PASS W/ FINDINGS – Application is in e-snaps but not attached in Community Force</p> <p>FAIL – No attachment is included in Community Force by application deadline.</p>
<p>2. E-SNAPS Application Complete</p> <p>E-SNAPS application is complete with all required items attached.</p>	<p>PASS – Meets all criteria</p> <p>PASS W/ FINDINGS – Provides description of cause and or there is some concern that the project application is not complete and needs revisions before submitting</p> <p>FAIL – Information provided CONFIRMS that project is not eligible and/or will not be accepted by HUD due to several missing or inaccurate information in e-snaps application.</p>
Local Funding Priorities	
<p>1. Meets Local Funding Priorities</p> <p>Scoring Criteria</p> <p>Meets criteria: Has at least 1 of the priority intervention types/initiatives/subpopulations listed in the local funding priorities are selected. IRT review of the application material entered into E-SNAPS is consistent with response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 3B.3: Subpopulation focus - Project Narrative <p>Does not meet criteria: None of the priority intervention types/initiatives/subpopulations listed in the local funding priorities are selected.</p>	<p>Up to 15 – Meets criteria</p> <p>0 – Does not meet criteria</p>

CoC Policies and Standards

1. Address Racial and Ethnic Disparities

Criteria to Consider:

- Clear and effective planning process, including goals, key people, collaborators and their roles.
- Timeline is mentioned as a planning/implementation tool.

Additional Background Information:

Strategies Recommended in SPARK (Center for Social Innovation):

1. Train all staff working in the homeless services sector on understanding racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness, so they can target resources toward and develop/adapt programs for people of color.
2. Establish professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of color in the homelessness sector.
3. Create positions in organizations that are explicitly focused on and charged with creating equity-based responses to homelessness.
4. Create greater racial and ethnic diversity on boards of directors for local and national non-profit organizations working on homelessness.
5. Ensure involvement in community efforts such as SPARC and similar local and national projects designed to remediate racial inequity.
6. Develop or adapt behavioral health interventions, domestic violence programs, and other supportive services for people of color experiencing homelessness.

[Supporting Partnership for Anti-Racist Communities. Phase One Study Findings](#)

Strategies Recommended by USICH:

1. Use demographic data from the most recent census to map the racial profile of their community and then compare it to HMIS data to understand who is experiencing homelessness at disproportionate rates in their community
2. Report on staff and board diversity compared to population experiencing homelessness and develop diversification goals
3. Develop data-driven goals for including people of color with lived experience of homelessness into planning efforts
4. Analyze data to determine if equitable permanent housing outcomes are being achieved and identify if there are ethnic or racial groups who are less likely to be exiting to permanent housing
5. Interrogate person-centered data to build out an understanding of service utilization patterns and the connection between those patterns and housing placements and/or other outcomes; use these data points to inform planning and resource allocation

Up to 10 – Agency Clearly Demonstrates Significant efforts to identify and reduce racial and ethnic disparities among their clients; agency practices, and/or program outcomes

Up to 5 – Agency demonstrates minimal efforts to identify and reduce racial and ethnic disparities among their clients, agency practices, and/or program outcomes

0 – Agency demonstrates no efforts

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Map the points of entry and precipitating causes of homelessness and assess whether there are significant differences among people of color 7. Geographically map where people experiencing homelessness live, work, or spend their time to ensure that place-based investments in outreach, services, and housing opportunities are equitably reaching people of color 8. Identify systemwide goals to drive progress toward equity and identify data points to measure progress toward those goals 9. Regularly review data, assess impact, and refine and strengthen strategies and activities <p><u>Emerging Strategies for Addressing Racial Inequities in Our Efforts to End Homelessness</u></p>	
<p>2. HMIS Participation</p> <p>Criteria to Consider</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All items are addressed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Agency’s history using the local HMIS database and/or comparable database 2) Staff responsible for reviewing data and submitting reports (required HUD reporting and reporting to HMIS lead) 3) Current and/or future strategies to ensure data quality. 4) Extent of participation in HMIS (e.g., staff that enter information in HMIS directly, use of reports, entering universal data standards, entering case notes). 5) Information about current or planned equipment needed for program • Description matches other details in project application. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget • Supportive Service Chart • Project Staffing Plan • Clear description of all activities with specific details. Narrative corroborates with the other components of the application <p>Criteria to consider (areas of concern):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HMIS Budget Line Item does not support the staffing plan and narrative does not provide description indicating plan to support data quality and reporting requirements with limited HMIS funding in budget. • Application includes subrecipient partner that will provide direct services and does not address required responsibilities of subrecipient with entering HMIS data. <p>Does not meet Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agency did not provide a response to the required items (i.e. 1-5) or responses indicate a lack of willingness or ability to successfully participate in HMIS. 	<p>Up to 5 – Concise description that addresses all required fields.</p> <p>Up to 3 – Adequately meets criteria with a few areas that would be improved with more details or clear language. Participation is limited to required data entry elements.</p> <p>0 – Does not meet criteria based on narrative.</p>

<p>If Victim Service Provider:</p> <p>Criteria to Consider</p> <p>Describe the program’s ability to collect data electronically in a comparable database</p> <p>Responses must address the following items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Agency’s history using a Comparable Database 2) Staff responsible for reviewing data and submitting reports 3) Current and/or future strategies to ensure data quality. 4) Extent of participation in reporting requirements for Victim Service Providers 5) Information about current or planned equipment needed for program <p>Criteria to consider (areas of concern):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget does not support the staffing plan and narrative does not provide description indicating plan to support data quality and reporting requirements <p>Does not meet Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agency did not provide a response addressing all of the required items (1-5) 	<p>Up to 5 – Concise description that addresses all required fields.</p> <p>Up to 3 – Adequately meets criteria with a few areas that would be improved with more details or clear language.</p> <p>0 – Does not meet criteria</p>
<p>3. Required Policies Checklist</p> <p>Criteria to Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant attached a completed version of the policy agreement indicating that HUD requirements will be met and established by the time the grant agreement is signed. <p>Does not meet Criteria:</p> <p>Attachment is not included and/or project is unwilling to confirm that compliance will be met within the expected timeframe.</p>	<p>Up to 5 – Meets Expectations</p> <p>0 – Does not meet criteria</p>
<p>4. Project Staffing Plan</p> <p>Roles and relevant experience of project staff should be clearly outlined, and match details provided in other sections of the application.</p> <p>Criteria to consider:</p> <p>Exceeds Expectations:</p> <p>Proposal includes a clear and detailed breakdown of roles and responsibilities of each team member; along with recent experience with onboarding and preparing staff with responsibilities needed in project type; proposed project team is diverse and includes a variety of relevant expertise that will benefit the proposed project</p> <p>Successfully Meets Expectations:</p>	<p>Up to 5 – Exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Up to 3 – Successfully meets expectations.</p> <p>1 – Acceptable.</p> <p>0 – Does not meet expectations.</p>

Proposal includes a clear breakdown of the roles and responsibilities of each team member but includes only some specific details with onboarding and preparing staff with responsibilities needed in project type; proposed project team is diverse and includes at least basic roles needed to accomplish program outcomes.

Acceptable w/ meeting expectations:

Proposal includes a vague breakdown of the roles and responsibilities of each team member, with minimal detail and outlines only some of their experience with onboarding and preparing staff with responsibilities needed in project type; proposed project team is not diverse and includes only limited relevant expertise needed to accomplish outcomes

Does NOT meet expectations:

Incomplete. Roles and responsibilities are not outlined. Project team is relatively homogeneous with no clear evidence of relevant expertise

**Application Question and Scoring Criteria
E-SNAPS APPLICATION**

Points/Scoring Guidance

2B. Experience of Applicant

1. Experience Utilizing Funds

Describe the experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any) in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application, given funding and time limitations.

Successfully Meets Expectations

Provides clear descriptions of why the project applicant, subrecipients, and partner organizations are the appropriate entities to receive funding. Provides clear and strong examples that illustrate their in-depth experience and expertise in all the following: (1) working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; (2) developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; (3) identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources; and (4) managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems

Adequately Meets Expectations

Provides descriptions of their experience but do not have as much experience and expertise in necessarily all of the following: (1) working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; (2) developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; (3) identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources; and (4) managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems

Up to 5 - Successfully Meets Expectations

Up to 3 – Adequately Meets Expectations

0 – Does NOT meet expectations

<p>Does NOT meet expectations Applicant does not have experience or a history of successful experience utilizing federal funds and/or narrative does not support ability to meet expectations needed to be a successful grantee of federal funds.</p>	
<p>2. Experience w/ leveraging funds Describe the experience of the Applicant and potential subrecipients (if any) in leveraging other Federal, State, local, and private sector funds</p> <p>Meets Criteria: Narrative clearly describes experience with leveraging all federal, state, local and private sector funds.</p> <p>Does not meet criteria: No experience leveraging other federal, state, local, or private sector funds. Narrative does not provide</p>	<p>Up to 5 – Meets criteria</p> <p>0 – Does not meet criteria</p>
<p>3. Organization and Management Structure</p> <p>Describe the basic organization and management structure of the applicant and subrecipients (if any). Include evidence of internal and external coordination and an adequate financial accounting system.</p> <p>Meets criteria: Clear evidence that project applicant and/or any subrecipients have the organization and management structure to implement the project, including evidence of internal and external coordination and an adequate financial accounting system. Description should include internal and external coordination and the financial accounting system that will be used to administer the grant.</p> <p>Does not meet criteria: Has no clearly defined structure in place to implement the project and/or narrative is inconsistent with other information provided throughout the application</p>	<p>Up to 5– Meets criteria</p> <p>0 – Does NOT meet Criteria</p>
<p>4.a. and b. Unresolved Monitoring and/or Audit Findings Are there any unresolved monitoring or audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) operated by the applicant or potential subrecipients?</p>	<p>PASS – Clearly meets expectations shown by having no unresolved monitoring or audit findings</p> <p><u>Applicant has unresolved monitoring or audit finding</u></p> <p>PASS W/ FINDINGS – Adequately meets expectations based on narrative with some areas needing clarification.</p> <p>FAIL – Does not meet criteria with unresolved findings that have not been addressed and result in risk of losing other funding sources.</p>

New Project Application Project Description

3B PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. Narrative

Clarity and Consistency of the narrative

PSH, RRH, Joint TH and PH-RRH Projects:

Provides a detailed description of the scope of the project including the target population(s) to be served, project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, anticipated project outcome(s), coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and the reason CoC Program funding is required. Additionally, if the project will implement any service participation requirements or requirements that go beyond what is typically included in a lease agreement, describe what those requirements are and how they will be implemented. The information project applicants provide in this narrative must not conflict with information provided in other parts of the project application.

Note: HUD recommends using more general data (e.g., this project will serve 10 persons over the term of the grant) rather than using specific dates (e.g., in FY 2020 this project will serve 10 persons) to reduce the need to change project descriptions for annual renewals.

SSO-CE Projects:

Provides a detailed description of the scope of the project including the project plan for addressing coordinated entry needs, anticipated project outcomes, coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit), and the reason CoC Program funding is required.

Requirement for DV Bonus Projects: DV Bonus funds MUST tailor the description that includes how survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, those being trafficked, or stalking will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing that addresses their particular need AND includes trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches.

Criteria for meeting expectations

- Description matches other details in project application.
 - Budget
 - Supportive Service Chart
 - Project Type
- Rationale for funding and service design explain program strengths.
- All narrative items are addressed and provide a clear explanation of all activities with specific details

Up to 10 – Exceeds expectations

Up to 5 – Adequately meets criteria

0 – Does not meet criteria

2. Project Milestones

Applicants must adequately describe the estimated schedule for the proposed activities, the management plan, and the method for assuring effective and timely completion of all work.

Up to 5 – Exceeds expectations

Up to 3 - Meets expectations

0 – Unclear or responses indicate the project will not be able to

<p>Chart should clearly demonstrate how full capacity will be achieved over the term requested in this application. Must be able to begin assistance within 12 months of conditional award.</p> <p>Criteria to Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chart is completed and shows ability to begin assistance within 12 months of conditional award • Time frames are realistic throughout chart • Chart is consistent with other material and information presented throughout the application. 	<p>meet statutory requirements for executing grant agreement.</p>
<p>3. Coordinated Entry Will the project participate in the CoC Coordinated Entry Process?</p> <p>Passing Criteria to Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes, was selected to indicate that the project will use the local Coordinated Entry process. <p>Fail Criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agency will not participate in Coordinated Entry and narrative does not provide a reasonable response which includes using a comparable CE system for Victim Service Providers approved by the CoC. 	<p>PASS – Yes</p> <p>PASS W/ FINDINGS – Did not participate but provides description that is exempt through HUD requirements.</p> <p>FAIL – No</p> <p><u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u></p>
<p>4. Specific Population</p> <p>If a specific population was selected, are the selected populations consistent with other information presented in the application?</p> <p>NOT Scored for PSH, RRH, Joint TH and PH-RRH projects. Information in this section should match other application information.</p> <p><u>SSO-CE Projects ONLY:</u> Passing Criteria for questions 4a – 4d. 4a: Yes 4b: Yes 4c: Narrative provides clear description of how the CE process will ensure it is accessible to persons with high barriers, including persons with disabilities and persons with limited English proficiency. 4d: Yes (only exceptions approved are for Individuals, Households with children, Youth, and Persons Fleeing DV.</p> <p>Failing Criteria: 4a: No 4b: No 4c: Narrative indicates that the CE process will not be accessible to persons with high barriers, including persons with disabilities and persons with limited English proficiency. 4d: No</p>	<p><u>PSH, RRH, Joint TH and PH-RRH NOT SCORED</u></p> <p><u>SSO-CE PROJECTS ONLY</u></p> <p>PASS – Meets all criteria</p> <p>PASS W/ FINDINGS – Meets most criteria and/or unclear if meets criteria in narrative response.</p> <p>FAIL – Meets failing criteria</p>

5. Housing First 5a. Quickly move into Permanent Housing Did the applicant select items that indicate the project will be housing first and emphasis the importance of quickly moving participants into permanent housing. - Will the project be Housing First? All boxes in Q5B and 5c must be checked except NA to be housing first Not meeting expectations: - Applicant selected No.	PASS – Yes FAIL – No <u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u>
5b. Screen Out/Low Barrier - Housing First: Will the project ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following items?	PASS – Yes FAIL – No <u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u>
5c. Termination Reasons Will the project ensure that participants are not terminated from the program for the following reasons? Select all that apply.	PASS – Yes FAIL – No <u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u>
5d. Follow Housing First Approach	PASS – Yes FAIL – No <u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u>
Questions 6-10	Not Scored

3C Service Design	
Ensure all items are completed. No scoring attached.	
4 Supportive Services	
1. Compliance with Education Requirements for children and youth <u>Pass</u> – applicant checked box to indicate compliance <u>Fail</u> – applicant did not check box	PASS – Yes FAIL – No <u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u>
2. Housing Preservation Efforts (Maintain Permanent Housing)	Up to 10 – Clearly meets or exceeds expectations

<p>Narrative must clearly describe how participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing.</p> <p>Criteria to consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Acknowledge the needs of the target population include plans to address those needs through current and proposed case management activities and the availability and accessibility of supportive services such as—housing search, primary health services, mental health services, educational services, employment services, life skills, child care services, etc. - Good strategies should be specifically tailored—as related to this application—for individuals, older adults, youth, families, etc. Example: - A project specializing in serving young parents might provide a specific service array including parenting classes, education programming and other child care services. - If program participants will be housed in units not owned by the project applicant, the narrative must also indicate how appropriate units will be identified and how the project applicant or subrecipient will ensure that rents are reasonable. - Established arrangements and coordination with landlords and other homeless services providers should be detailed in the narrative. - Housing stability services through specialized case management or landlord engagement. 	<p>Up to 5 – Adequately meets expectations</p> <p>0 – Does not meet expectations</p> <p><u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u></p>
<p>3. Mainstream Health/Social Services/Employment Programs</p> <p>Applicant must describe what specific plan the project has to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which program participants may be eligible for.</p> <p>Criteria to consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Demonstrates a clear plan to help program participants obtain income - Plan clearly takes into account and addresses the needs of the target population, - Narrative describe how service delivery directly leads to program participant employment; how service delivery leads directly to program participants accessing SSI, SSDI, or other mainstream services; and how the requested funds contribute to program participants becoming more independent. 	<p>Up to 10 – Clearly meets or exceeds expectations</p> <p>Up to 5 – Adequately meets expectations</p> <p>0 – Does not meet expectations</p> <p><u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u></p>
<p>4. Supportive Service Chart</p> <p>Supportive service chart must match the program design in relation to which services are offered within the agency, the sub-recipient, partner agency, and/or non-partner agency.</p> <p>Criteria to Consider:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The services indicated are consistent with the balance of the application (project narrative, etc.) <p>Criteria Considered for Failing:</p>	<p>PASS – Yes</p> <p>FAIL – No</p> <p><u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u></p>

<p>This scoring element is not considering the adequacy or appropriateness of the services provided The chart is inconsistent with other information provided throughout the application.</p>	
<p>5. 5a Transportation Assistance Will you provide transportation assistance to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training or job?</p>	<p>PASS – Yes</p> <p>FAIL – No</p> <p><u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u></p>
<p>5b Ensure mainstream benefits are received Provide regular follow ups with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and reviewed</p>	<p>PASS – Yes</p> <p>FAIL – No</p> <p><u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u></p>
<p>6. Access to SSI/SSDI Project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance</p>	<p>PASS – Yes</p> <p>FAIL – No</p> <p><u>N/A for HMIS and SSO-CE Projects</u></p>
<p>6a Staff completed training The person providing the technical assistance has received SOAR training in the last 24 months</p>	<p>Not Scored</p>

Housing Type and Location	
Must be Completed but will not be scored	

Project Participants	
Must be Completed but will not be scored	

Budget	
<p>Budget Summary 1</p> <p>The budget is reasonable for the project/intervention type and matches the work proposed throughout the application.</p> <p>All budget items listed are eligible under the CoC Interim Rule</p> <p>Exceeds Expectations: The budget clearly outlines cost projections that are needed for the project type and outcomes. The numbers accurately reflect the priorities of the project. The budget is easy to understand and provides sufficient detail for</p>	<p>Up to 10 – Exceeds expectations</p> <p>Up to 6 – Clearly meets criteria</p> <p>Up to 3 – Adequately meets criteria</p> <p>0 – Does not meet criteria</p>

<p>clarity about how funds will be used and when expenses will be incurred. The budget is completely reasonable to the work proposed.</p> <p>Clearly Meets Expectations: The budget outlines cost projections that are needed for the project type and outcomes and the numbers generally reflect the priorities of the project. The budget is clear and some level of detail is provided, but some questions remain. The budget is mostly reasonable to the work proposed</p> <p>Adequately Meets Expectations The budget provides some cost projections that are needed for the project type and outcomes. The numbers are not entirely reflective of project priorities. The budget is difficult to read and understand and provides insufficient detail. The budget is not entirely reasonable to the work outlined.</p> <p>Does not meet expectations: The budget does not realistically outline cost projections needed for the project type and outcomes. The numbers do not reflect the priorities of the project. The budget is not clear and does not provide sufficient detail. The budget is not reasonable to the work proposed.</p>	
<p>Other Government Assistance</p> <p>Attachments: 2. N/A</p> <p>Criteria: 3. N/A</p>	<p>Not Scored</p>
<p>Match Program meets funding requirements for Match.</p> <p>Attachments: Match Agreement Letter</p> <p>Criteria Match equals 25 percent of the total grant request - excluding leasing costs. Attachments are updated and consistent with documenting match commitments needed for competition.</p> <p>Criteria for not meeting standards Match amount is less than 25%</p> <p>FYI – (All costs paid for with matching funds must be for activities that are eligible under the CoC Program, even if the recipient is not receiving CoC Program grant funds for that activity. All grant funds must be matched with an amount no less than 25% of the awarded grant amount (excluding the</p>	<p>PASS – Meets all criteria</p> <p>PASS W/ FINDINGS – Provides description of cause and or there is some concern that the project is not eligible for HUD funding.</p> <p>FAIL – Information provided CONFIRMS that project is not eligible for HUD funding</p>

amount awarded to the leasing budget line item) with cash or in-kind resources. Match resources may be from public (not statutorily prohibited by the funding agency from being used as a match) or private resources.)	
---	--